

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,710	WENZEL, WILLIAM RAY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shane Bomar	3672	

All Participants:

(1) Shane Bomar.

(2) Kevan Morgan.

Status of Application: Abandoned

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2006

Time: 10:30am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Attorney Morgan because it has been more than six months since the last Office Action was mailed on September 14, 2005. Mr. Morgan stated that a CIP application has been filed (before the expiration date of the current statutory period) in response to the Office Action, and this current application will be abandoned.